

THE COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

JC586 U.S. PTO



11/12/99

Docket No. TSMC99-287

A

Sir:

Transmitted herewith for filing is the Patent Application of:

Inventor: HUN-JAN TAO, HUAN-JUST LIN AND FANG-CHENG CHEN

For: METHOD TO CONTROL GATE CD

JC584 U.S. PTO
09/434563
11/12/99

Enclosed are:

- ☒ 3 sheets of drawing(s) - formal.
- ☒ An assignment of the invention to Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing Company
- ☐ An associate power of attorney

The filing fee has been calculated as shown below:

	(Col. 1)	(Col. 2)	OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY	
FOR:	NO. FILED	NO. EXTRA	RATE	FEE
BASIC FEE				\$ 760.
TOTAL CLAIMS	20 -20=	0	x 18 =	\$ 0.
INDEP CLAIMS	4 -3=	1	x 78 =	\$ 78.
MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM PRESENTED			+ 260 =	
			SUB TOTAL	\$ 838.
			ASSIGNMENT	\$40.
			TOTAL	\$ 878.

- ☒ Please charge my Deposit Account No. 19-0033 in the amount of \$ 878. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.
- ☒ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of the following fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 19-0033. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.
- ☒ Any additional filing fees required under 37 CFR \$1.16.
- ☒ Any patent application processing fees under 37 CFR \$1.17.

Respectfully submitted,

STEPHEN B. ACKERMAN, REG. NO. 37,761

METHOD TO CONTROL GATE CD

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The invention relates to the general field of pattern formation through photolithography with particular reference to batch to batch uniformity control.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The manufacture of integrated circuits involves laying down many layers of different materials each of which is formed into a different pattern using standard photolithographic techniques. In general, one wafer is processed at a time so that differences in the widths of lines of the actual photoresist patterns will vary somewhat from wafer to wafer. An example of this is illustrated in figure 1 which shows the CD (critical dimension or minimum width) for lines that were formed using the same reticle, or mask, on eight different wafers.

Lines 11 and 12 in figure 1 represent the upper and lower bounds for the CD to fall within the specified limits. In this example, wafers 2 and 6 had a CD that was too high while wafer 7 had a CD that was too low. Variations of this sort are the result of small, but

unavoidable, changes that take place during exposure and subsequent processing of the photoresist. These include such factors as the numerical aperture and depth of focus of the optical reduction system as well as the times and temperatures used to develop the photoresist.

The most common approach to dealing with this in the prior art has been to simply strip off the photoresist whenever the CD is found to be out of spec. and then start again. This can be expensive and does not guarantee that the problem will not arise again.

A somewhat more sophisticated approach used in the prior art is to measure the wafers as in their patterns are generated, accepting those that are within spec and then using the out of spec data to adjust the full photolithographic process (numerical aperture, development parameters, etc.). A flow chart representation of this method is shown in FIG. 2. While this approach reduces the wafer to wafer variations in CD it is not sufficiently reliable to allow removal of the feedback loop once the system appears to have settled down. Additionally, deciding what changes are to be made to the photolithographic process, based on the measured CDs, can be quite complicated and difficult to implement.

Another problem associated with the photolithography of fine lines is that of edge roughness and foot formation. Inevitably the edges of lines formed in photoresist cannot be completely straight and a certain amount of ripple will appear. As lines get to be finer and finer this edge roughness begins to be a significant fraction of the actual line width. In

figure 3a we show an example of foot formation in a line of photoresist. Line 30 is about 0.16 microns wide and about 0.38 microns high. Foot 31 can be seen extending about 0.015 microns outward at its base. The presence of the foot introduces a level of uncertainty into the width of any line that is subsequently etched using this line of photoresist as a mask. The prior art process discussed above provides no correction for either the roughness problem the line foot problem.

Also used in the prior art is a process whereby the width of the photoresist lines can be reduced by exposing them to a gas plasma discharge. This approach is satisfactory when the measured CD of the photoresist lines is too high (such as for wafers 2 and 6 in FIG. 1), but cannot be used when the CD is too low (such as for wafer 7 in FIG. 1). An example of a photoresist line whose foot has been removed through exposure to a gas discharge plasma is shown in FIG. 3b.

A routine search of the prior art was conducted but no references teaching the exact method of the present invention were found. Several references of interest were, however, seen. For example, Yang (US 5,913,102) shows a system for controlling CD using a measurement parameter and a control parameter. Yang does not specifically discuss photoresist trimming processes related to CD of gates

Muller et al. (US 5,674,409) show a photoresist trimming process that uses ashing while Shinagawa et al. (US 5,057,187) show a method of controlling such an ashing

TSMC99-287

process.

Leung (US 4,717,445) shows an etch bias monitoring technique while Bindell et al. (US 5,804,460) show a method for measuring photoresist line widths.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

It has been an object of the present invention to provide a process for limiting variations in line width of photoresist patterns from wafer to wafer.

Another object of the invention has been to provide a process for reducing edge roughness in photoresist lines.

Still another object of the invention has been to provide a process for removing feet in photoresist lines.

A further object of the invention has been that said process be low cost and suitable for photoresist lines that are both too wide and too narrow.

These objects have been achieved by increasing all line widths in the original pattern

data file by a fixed amount that is sufficient to ensure that all lines will be wider than the lowest acceptable CD value. Using a reticle generated from the modified data file, the pattern is formed in photoresist and the resulting CD value is determined. If this turns out be outside (above) the acceptable CD range, the amount of deviation from the ideal CD value is determined and fed into suitable software that calculates the control parameters (usually time) for an ashing routine. After ashing, the lines will have been reduced in width by the amount necessary to obtain the correct CD. A fringe benefit of this trimming process is that edge roughness of the photoresist lines is reduced and line feet are removed.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is an example of CD variation across a group of several wafers.

FIG. 2 is a flow chart illustration of a prior art process that has been used to improve the distribution seen in FIG. 1.

FIG. 3a shows a photoresist line that has a foot.

FIG. 3b shows a photoresist line from which the foot has been removed due to exposure to a gas plasma discharge.

TSMC99-287

FIG. 4 shows CD variation across several wafers when a mask having its CD increased according to the process of the present invention is used.

FIG. 5 shows the distribution of FIG. 4 after controlled trimming, according to the present invention, has taken place.

FIG. 6 is a flowchart illustration of the process of the present invention.

FIG. 7 is a plot of CD bias as a function of ashing time.

FIG. 8 is a plot of post trimming improvement of roughness as function of edge roughness prior to trimming.

DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

The present invention is concerned with improved dimensional control of photoresist masks. We will illustrate the process of the invention using as an example the formation of a polysilicon gate that is part of an integrated circuit, but it will be understood by those skilled in the art that the process is more general than this, being applicable to the control of line width in general when photoresist masking is used.

The process begins with the provision of a mask generation data file. Said file contains all the data necessary to generate the image that is to be replicated in photoresist. This includes data on those lines whose width is the lowest of all lines in the pattern. In other words, these are the line widths that define the critical dimension (CD) of the pattern. Typically, the CD width would be between about 0.14 and 0.18 microns.

The next step in the process is to modify the data file by increasing the widths of all lines in the pattern by a fixed amount which is usually in the range of from about 0.01 to about 0.03 microns. The precise value of this width increase depends on the past history of CD variation from wafer to wafer as already discussed and illustrated in FIG. 1. Specifically, the CD is increased by a sufficient amount to ensure that the CD of the photoresist mask is greater than the lower bound 12 for all future wafers. This would result in the distribution seen in FIG. 1 having the appearance shown in FIG. 4. In other words, all future photoresist patterns will have CDs that are either within spec. (being between upper and lower bounds 11 and 12), or CDs that are too large (such as wafers 2, 4, and 6 in FIG. 4) but never too small (such as wafer 7 in FIG. 1).

The modified file is now used to generate a reticle in the usual way. Said reticle is now ready for use in the same optical reduction system that was used prior to the present invention for exposing the photoresist, care being taken to ensure that optical parameters such as numerical aperture, depth of focus, etc. remain unaltered as well as the various parameters associated with processing the photoresist

With the modified reticle now available, the semiconductor wafer to which the process is to be applied is covered with a layer of polysilicon to a thickness between about 0.15 and 0.35 microns. This is followed by the deposition of a layer of material that is suitable for use as a hard mask such as silicon oxide, silicon nitride, or silicon oxynitride, to a thickness between about 0.4 and 0.8 microns. Optionally, if some material other than polysilicon is to be etched, the hard mask material layer might be omitted.

The hard mask layer is now coated with photoresist following which it is exposed to actinic radiation that illuminates its surface with an image that is a reduced version of the pattern contained in the (modified) reticle. The photoresist is then developed in the usual way to form a photoresist pattern. As already discussed above, the CD of this pattern may be too large but, by definition, it cannot be too small.

The exact amount by which the CD of the photoresist pattern on any given wafer exceeds the specified dimension is then determined by inspection. For wafers in which the CD of their photoresist pattern falls within the permitted range nothing further need be done. In the current example this would be wafers 3, 5, 7, and 8 (in FIG. 4). However, for wafers such as number 3, that are only just within spec., there is some advantage to including them among the candidates for rework, as will be discussed below, along with wafers 2, 4, and 6 that are clearly outside the permitted range (CD above line 1).

The amount by which the measured CD in the developed photoresist exceeds the

CD of the original (unmodified) data file is then used as a parameter to control the next step in the process which is trimming of the photoresist mask through exposure to a gas discharge etcher. The larger this control parameter, the longer the exposure time and/or the power level of the discharge will be. A typical trimming sequence would be to use a mixture of chlorine and oxygen gases, in a conductive or inductive etcher, at a power level between about 200 and 300 watts, for between about 20 and 60 seconds. An example of how much the width of a line of photoresist is reduced (referred to as 'CD bias') as a function of the ashing time is shown in FIG. 7. It is apparent that this method allows line widths to be controlled to an accuracy of better than about 0.01 nm.

At the conclusion of this trimming process, the widths of all lines in the photoresist pattern will have been reduced to a value that falls between lines 11 and 12 in FIG. 4 so that the distribution of CDs among the photoresist patterns on the different wafers would have the appearance illustrated in FIG. 5. That is, all wafer patterns now have CDs that are within spec.

Normal processing is now resumed. The hard mask layer is etched using standard techniques, with the trimmed photoresist pattern serving as the mask, thereby forming the actual hard mask which is then used for the etching of the polysilicon layer to form a gate.

An important fringe benefit of the trimming process described above is that, in addition to reducing photoresist line width, it also reduces the edge roughness of the lines

as well. This is an important property for a device gate so lines that are only just within the specified width range may also be given a slight trim since there is no danger of reducing their widths to less than the CD spec. An illustration of this can be seen for wafer 3 (of this example) which, in FIG. 4, is seen to be just within spec. but, after a slight trim, has had its width reduced somewhat but still remains well within spec. In practice, the lines in the photoresist image, prior to trimming, have an edge roughness between about 15 and 25 nm while the lines in the photoresist image, after trimming, have an edge roughness between about 10 and 15. FIG. 8 is a plot of post trimming roughness improvement vs. pre-trimming roughness and shows that the lower the initial roughness the greater the improvement that can be obtained through trimming.

A flow chart summarizing the above-described process, is shown in FIG. 6. Box 61 refers to the formation of the photoresist pattern using the modified reticle. Once formed this pattern is inspected, that is its CD is measured, as symbolized by box 62, ADI being 'after development inspection'. Based on the results of the ADI, suitable software is used to translate the difference in CDs to control data for the trimming process (connector line 65). This is followed by the actual trimming operation (box 63) and, finally, etching takes place using the trimmed photoresist as a mask. In this example it is a transistor gate that is being formed (box 64).

The effectiveness of the present invention in reducing CD variation from wafer to wafer is illustrated by the data summarized in TABLE I below. CD values and 3 sigma values for two processes are shown at several stages in the formation of a polysilicon gate.

	0.18 microns		0.35 microns	
	CD (microns)	3 σ (nm)	CD (microns)	3 σ (nm)
ADI	0.174	9.6	0.3453	7.5
post trimming & HM open ASI	0.1505	7.8	0.3204	5.4
HM ASI-ADI(nm)	-21.5	2.4	-22.9	4.8
poly ASI	0.1477	7.5	0.3119	5.3
poly ASI-HM ASI	-8.8	3.6	-8.5	3
poly ASI-ADI(nm)	-30.3	3.3	-31.4	4.8

TABLE I

Wafer to wafer CD variation

Abbreviations used in TABLE I are ADI=after development inspection, HM=hard mask, ASI=after stripping inspection.

By using ADI CD feedforward control of 0.18 and 0.25 micron lines, we can reduce the CD variation found during ADI from 9.6 nm to 7.8 nm found during HMASI, for 0.18 micron lines, and from 7.5 nm to 5.4 nm for 0.35 micron lines. Thus feedforwarding ADI CD data to the HMASI by the trimming method, as disclosed above, improves the wafer-to-wafer CD variation.

TSMC99-287

While the invention has been particularly shown and described with reference to the preferred embodiments thereof, it will be understood by those skilled in the art that various changes in form and details may be made without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention.

What is claimed is:

1. A process to control line width after etching, comprising:
 - providing a mask generation data file, including data on lines having a first minimum width;
 - modifying the data file whereby said first minimum width is increased by an amount;
 - from said modified file, forming a reticle;
 - coating a semiconductor wafer, having a top layer, with photoresist and then exposing said photoresist to an image of said reticle and then developing the photoresist to form a photoresist image;
 - inspecting said photoresist image thereby determining a second minimum width;
 - based on the difference between the first and second minimum widths, generating a control sequence for photoresist trimming;
 - then trimming the photoresist image according to said control sequence; and
 - then etching said top layer, using the trimmed photoresist image as a mask.
2. The process of claim 1 wherein said first minimum width is between about 0.14 and 0.18 microns.
3. The process of claim 1 wherein said second minimum width is between about 0.12 and 0.16 microns.
4. The process of claim 1 wherein the amount by which the first minimum width is increased is between about 0.01 and 0.03 microns.

5. The process of claim 1 wherein said trimming control sequence further comprises using a mixture of chlorine and oxygen gases, in a conductive or inductive etcher, at a power level between about 200 and 300 watts, for between about 20 and 60 seconds.

6. The process of claim 1 wherein the coating of photoresist has a thickness between about 0.3 and 0.5 microns.

7. A process to reduce edge roughness of lines in a photoresist pattern, comprising: providing a mask generation data file, including data on lines having a first minimum width;

modifying the data file whereby said first minimum width is increased by an amount; from said modified file, forming a reticle;

coating a semiconductor wafer, having a top layer, with photoresist and then exposing said photoresist to an image of said reticle and then developing the photoresist to form a photoresist image of the lines;

inspecting said photoresist image thereby determining a second minimum width;

based on the difference between the first and second minimum widths, generating a control sequence for photoresist trimming; and

then trimming the photoresist image according to said control sequence , thereby reducing edge roughness of the lines.

8. The process of claim 7 wherein the lines in the photoresist image, prior to trimming,

have an edge roughness between about 15 and 25 nm.

9. The process of claim 7 wherein the lines in the photoresist image, after trimming, have an edge roughness between about 10 and 15 nm.

10. The process of claim 7 wherein said trimming control sequence further comprises using a mixture of chlorine and oxygen gases, in a conductive or inductive etcher, at a power level between about 200 and 300 watts, for between about 20 and 60 seconds.

11. A process for width control of a polysilicon gate, comprising:
providing a mask generation data file, including data on lines having a first minimum width;

modifying the data file whereby said first minimum width is increased by an amount;
from said modified file, forming a reticle;

providing a semiconductor wafer having a top layer of polysilicon

depositing a layer of a hard mask material on said polysilicon layer;

coating the hard mask layer with photoresist and then exposing said photoresist to

an image of said reticle and then developing the photoresist to form a photoresist image;

inspecting said photoresist image thereby determining a second minimum width;

based on the difference between the first and second minimum widths, generating a control sequence for photoresist trimming;

then trimming the photoresist image according to said control sequence;

then etching said hard mask layer, using the trimmed photoresist image as a mask, thereby forming a hard mask; and

then etching the polysilicon layer to form a gate.

12. The process of claim 11 wherein the amount by which the first minimum width is increased is between about 0.01 and 0.03 microns.

13. The process of claim 11 wherein said trimming control sequence further comprises using a mixture of chlorine and oxygen gases, in a conductive or inductive etcher, at a power level between about 200 and 300 watts, for between about 20 and 60 seconds.

14. The process of claim 11 wherein the polysilicon layer has a thickness between about 0.15 and 0.35 microns.

15. The process of claim 11 wherein the hard mask layer is selected from the group consisting of silicon oxide, silicon nitride, and silicon oxynitride.

16. The process of claim 11 wherein the hard mask layer has a thickness between about 0.04 and 0.08 microns.

17. A process to reduce edge roughness of a semiconductor gate line, having a first width, comprising:

providing a mask generation data file, including data on said first the gate width;
modifying the data file whereby said first line width is increased by an amount;
from said modified file, forming a reticle;
providing a semiconductor wafer having a top layer of polysilicon
5 depositing a layer of a hard mask material on said polysilicon layer;
coating the hard mask layer with photoresist and then exposing said photoresist to
an image of said reticle and then developing the photoresist to form a photoresist image;
inspecting said photoresist image thereby determining a second gate width;
based on the difference between the first and second gate widths, generating a
10 control sequence for photoresist trimming;
then trimming the photoresist image according to said control sequence;
then etching said hard mask layer, using the trimmed photoresist image as a mask,
thereby forming a hard mask; and
then etching the polysilicon layer to form a gate having reduced edge roughness.

15 18. The process of claim 17 wherein the lines in the photoresist image, prior to trimming,
have an edge roughness between about 15 and 25 nm.

19. The process of claim 17 wherein the lines in the photoresist image, after trimming,
have an edge roughness between about 10 and 15 nm.

20. The process of claim 17 wherein said trimming control sequence further comprises

TSMC99-287

using a mixture of chlorine and oxygen gases, in a conductive or inductive etcher, at a power level between about 200 and 300 watts, for between about 20 and 60 seconds.

ABSTRACT

The invention is a process for reducing variations in CD from wafer to wafer. It begins by increasing all line widths in the original pattern data file by a fixed amount that is sufficient to ensure that all lines will be wider than the lowest acceptable CD value. Using a reticle generated from this modified data file, the pattern is formed in photoresist and the resulting CD value is determined. If this turns out be outside (above) the acceptable CD range, the amount of deviation from the ideal CD value is determined and fed into suitable software that calculates the control parameters (usually time) for an ashing routine. After ashing, the lines will have been reduced in width by the amount necessary to obtain the correct CD. A fringe benefit of this trimming process is that edge roughness of the photoresist lines is reduced and line feet are removed.




FIG. 3b - Prior Art




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6




FIG. 7




FIG. 8

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION

DOCKET NO. TSMC99-287

As a below named Inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name;

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled
Method To Control Gate CD

the specification of which (check one)

X is attached hereto.

was filed on _____

Application Serial No. _____

and was amended on _____

(if applicable)

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above Identified specification including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56(a).

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code §119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

Prior Foreign Application(s)

Priority Claimed:

(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)
_____	_____	_____
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)
_____	_____	_____

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code §120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, §112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

(Application Serial No.)	(Filing Date)	(Status) (patented, pending, abandoned)
_____	_____	_____

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

POWER OF ATTORNEY: As a named inventor, I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or agent(s) to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith. (list name & registration no.)

GEORGE O. SAILE. (Reg. No. 19,572), STEPHEN B. ACKERMAN (Reg. No. 37,761)

Send Correspondence to: 20 MCINTOSH DRIVE, POUGHKEEPSIE; NEW YORK 12603

Direct telephone Calls to: (name & telephone number) GEORGE O. SAILE NEW YORK 914 452 5863

HUN-JAN TAO

Full name of sole or first inventor

Date

Inventor's signature

4F, No. 115, San Chong First Rd. San Chong Lee, Chutung, Taiwan

Residence

Taiwan, Republic of China

Citizenship

21 Park Ave. 3, Science-Based Ind. Park, Hsin-Chu, Taiwan

Post Office Address

HUAN - JUST LINFull name of **second** inventor

Date

*Johan-Just Lin**Oct. 16. 1999*

Inventor's signature

5F-5, No. 7, Lane 175, Wu-Lin Rd., Hsin-Chu, Taiwan

Residence

Taiwan, Republic of China

Citizenship

121 Park Ave. 3, Science Based Ind. Park, Hsin-Chu, Taiwan

Post Office Address

FANG - CHENG CHENFull name of **third** inventor

Date

*Fang Cheng Chen**Oct. 16. 1999.*

Inventor's signature

8F-5, No. 177, Wu-Lin Rd., Hsin-Chu, Taiwan

Residence

Taiwan, Republic of China

Citizenship

121 Park Ave. 3, Science-Based Ind. Park, Hsin-Chu, Taiwan

Post Office Address

Full name of **fourth** inventor

Date

Inventor's signature

Residence

Citizenship

Post Office Address

Full name of **fifth** inventor

Date

Inventor's signature

Residence

Citizenship

Post Office Address

Full name of **sixth** inventor

Date

Inventor's signature

Residence

Citizenship

Post Office Address

DOCKET E9942460